WHITE PAPER

Orphaned Resources.

When nobody answers for it.

How resources lose their owner, why that blocks every other governance decision, and how to bring them back under someone's responsibility.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

A resource without an owner cannot be governed at all.

Every resource is created by someone. Few are handed over when that person moves on. Spaces, repositories, groups and applications quietly outlive their creators, and keep their data, their access and their sharing.

An orphaned resource is more than an untidy inventory line. It blocks governance: nobody can decide whether it is still needed, who should access it, or what should happen to its data.

This paper explains how orphaning happens, how to detect it, and how to resolve it with decisions that restore responsibility before anything else.

The first decision
Without an owner, no other policy can be decided.

Quiet by nature
Orphaning happens at departures and reorganisations.

Reassign or retire
Two outcomes, each one recorded.

Prevent, then cure
Ownership certified regularly keeps orphans rare.

CONTENTS

01 How resources become orphans
02 Why it blocks everything else
03 Detecting orphans
04 Resolving: reassign or retire
05 Preventing new orphans
06 Where to start

01

How resources become orphans

Orphaning is rarely a decision. It is the side effect of ordinary events: a departure, a change of role, a reorganisation that dissolves a team, a project that ends without anyone archiving its spaces.

In each case, the resource keeps working. Members keep their access, links keep working, data stays where it is. Nothing signals that the person who could answer for it is gone.

“The owner left. The resource stayed.”

KEY IDEA

DEFINITION

Orphaned resource: a resource whose owner has left the organisation or can no longer be identified, so that nobody can answer for it.

02

Why it blocks everything else

Every other governance question depends on an owner. Is the resource still in use? Should its data be kept? Is its sharing appropriate? Who should keep access? Each of these needs a person with the knowledge and authority to answer.

An orphaned resource therefore escapes all policies at once. It is the most important finding to resolve first, because resolving it unlocks the others.

“Without an owner, there is no one to decide.”

KEY IDEA

Inactivity
Nobody can say whether it is still needed.

Lifecycle
Nobody can decide what becomes of its data.

Sharing
Nobody can confirm or restrict its audience.

Access
Nobody can certify its members.

03

Detecting orphans

Detection relies on reconciling resources with identities. An owner whose account is disabled, who left the directory, or who no longer holds a role that fits, points to a resource at risk. So does a resource whose only owner is a departed account or a shared mailbox.

“Look for owners who are no longer there.”

KEY IDEA

Detection must be honest about its limits. Where ownership cannot be observed, the resource is reported as such, not presumed to have an owner.

- **Departed owner.** The owner's account is disabled or gone.
- **Unknown owner.** No owner can be identified from what is observed.
- **Non-personal owner.** A shared mailbox or a team account, with no accountable person.

04

Resolving: reassign or retire

An orphan policy asks a simple question: reassign the resource to a new owner, or delete it? The decision is taken by someone with authority over the area, typically the manager of the departed owner or the owner of the parent space.

When the resource is reassigned, the new owner accepts the role explicitly. When it is retired, the correction is tracked until a later observation confirms that it is gone. Either way, the decision is attributed, dated and justified.

“Two outcomes. Each one recorded.”

KEY IDEA

DEFINITION

Accepted ownership: an owner who has explicitly agreed to answer for a resource, as opposed to one assigned by default.

05

Preventing new orphans

Curing orphans is necessary; preventing them is cheaper. An ownership policy asks owners, at regular intervals, to confirm that they are still the right person. When someone changes role, the question is asked before they leave, not after.

Combined with a review triggered by departures, regular ownership certification keeps orphans rare and short-lived.

“Certify ownership before it goes missing.”

KEY IDEA

06

Where to start

Orphan resolution is the natural first campaign of a resource governance programme.

“Resolve orphans first. Then everything else becomes decidable.”

KEY IDEA

1. **List resources with departed or unknown owners** on the platforms that matter most.
2. **Route each to an authority** who can reassign or retire it.
3. **Record each decision** and track retirements to confirmation.
4. **Certify ownership regularly** so that new orphans are caught early.
5. **Then run the other policies** now that every resource has someone to decide.

REVYCORE

Every resource,
someone who answers for it.

Human decisions. Verifiable evidence.

Request a demonstration in your context: your solutions, your scopes, your agents.

revycore.com

This paper describes an approach and the corresponding capabilities of REVYCORE for information purposes; it is not legal advice. Ownership signals available depend on what each solution allows to be observed. © 2026 REVYCORE SAS.